Fuzzing with Code Coverage By Example

Charlie Miller Independent Security Evaluators October 20, 2007

cmiller@securityevaluators.com

© 2005, Independent Security Evaluators www.securityevaluators.com





- Fuzzing 101
- Common Fuzzing Problems
- Code Coverage
- Examples
- Improving Code Coverage



Fuzzing

- A form of vulnerability analysis and testing
- Many slightly anomalous test cases are input into the target application
- Application is monitored for any sign of error



© 2005, Independent Security Evaluators www.securityevaluators.com



Example

Standard HTTP GET request

§ GET /index.html HTTP/1.1

- Anomalous requests
 - § AAAAAA...AAAA /index.html HTTP/1.1
 - § GET //////index.html HTTP/1.1
 - § GET %n%n%n%n%n%n.html HTTP/1.1
 - § GET /AAAAAAAAAAAAAAA.html HTTP/1.1
 - § GET /index.html HTTTTTTTTTTTTP/1.1
 - § GET /index.html HTTP/1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1
 - § etc...



Different Ways To Fuzz

- Mutation Based "Dumb Fuzzing"
- Generation Based "Smart Fuzzing"
- Evolutionary



Mutation Based Fuzzing

- Little or no knowledge of the structure of the inputs is assumed
- Anomalies are added to existing valid inputs
- Anomalies may be completely random or follow some heuristics
- Requires little to no set up time
- Dependent on the inputs being modified
- May fail for protocols with checksums, those which depend on challenge response, etc.
- Examples:
 - § Taof, GPF, ProxyFuzz, etc.





Generation Based Fuzzing

- Test cases are generated from some description of the format: RFC, documentation, etc.
- Anomalies are added to each possible spot in the inputs
- Knowledge of protocol should give better results than random fuzzing
- Can take significant time to set up
- Examples
 - § SPIKE, Sulley, Mu-4000, Codenomicon





Evolutionary Fuzzing

- Attempts to generate inputs based on the response of the program
- Autodafe
 - § Prioritizes test cases based on which inputs have reached dangerous API functions
- EFS
 - § Generates test cases based on code coverage metrics (more later)
- This technique is still in the alpha stage :)



The Problems With Fuzzing

- Mutation based fuzzers can generate an infinite number of test cases... When has the fuzzer run long enough?
- Generation based fuzzers generate a finite number of test cases. What happens when they're all run and no bugs are found?
- How do you monitor the target application such that you know when something "bad" has happened?



The Problems With Fuzzing

- What happens when you find too many bugs? Or every anomalous test case triggers the same (boring) bug?
- How do you figure out which test case caused the fault?
- Given a crash, how do you find the actual vulnerability
- After fuzzing, how do you know what changes to make to improve your fuzzer?
- When do you give up on fuzzing an application?



Example 1: PDF

- Have a PDF file with 248,000 bytes
- There is one byte that, if changed to particular values, causes a crash

§ This byte is 94% of the way through the file

- Any single random mutation to the file has a probability of .00000392 of finding the crash
- On average, need 127,512 test cases to find it
- At 2 seconds a test case, thats just under 3 days...
- It could take a week or more...



Example 2: 3g2

- Video file format
- Changing a byte in the file to 0xff crashes QuickTime Player 42% of the time
- All these crashes seem to be from the same bug
- There may be other bugs "hidden" by this bug



Code Coverage

- Some of the answers to these questions lie in code coverage
- Code coverage is a metric which can be used to determine how much code has been executed.
- Works for source code or binaries, although almost all the literature assumes you have source





Line Coverage

 Measures how many lines of code (source code lines or assembly instructions) have been executed.



Branch Coverage

 Measures how many branches in code have been taken (conditional jmps)

> if(x > 2) x = 2;

- The above code can achieve full line coverage in one test case (ex. x=3)
- Requires 2 test cases for total branch coverage (ex. x=1, x=2).



Path Coverage

Measures the number of paths executed

- Requires
 - § 1 test case for line coverage
 - § 2 test cases for branch coverage
 - § 4 test cases for path coverage
 - i.e. $(a,b) = \{(0,0), (3,0), (0,3), (3,3)\}$

Path Coverage Issues

- In general, a program with n "reachable" branches will require 2n test cases for branch coverage and 2ⁿ test cases for path coverage
 - § Umm....there's a lot of paths in a program!
- If you consider loops, there are an infinite number of paths
- Some paths are *infeasible*

§ You can't satisfy both of these conditionals, i.e. there is only three paths through this code, not four



Getting Code Coverage Data

- If you've got source
 - § Instrument the code while compiling
 - gcov
 - Insure++
 - Bullseye



Getting Code Coverage Data

- If you live in the real world
 - § Use Debugging info
 - Pai Mei
 - § Virtualization
 - Valgrind
 - Bochs
 - Xen?
 - § Dynamic code instrumentation
 - DynamoRIO
 - Aprobe

Problems with Code Coverage

Code can be covered without revealing bugs

```
mySafeCpy(char *dst, char* src){
    if(dst && src)
        strcpy(dst, src);
}
```

Error checking code mostly missed (and we don't particularly care about it)

```
ptr = malloc(sizeof(blah));
if(!ptr)
    ran_out_of_memory();
```

- Only "attack surface" reachable
 - § i.e. the code processing user controlled data
 - § No easy way to measure the attack surface

© 2005, Independent Security Evaluators www.securityevaluators.com

Now the Examples

 Note: we start with some source code examples but move on to binary only



In June 2007...

- A group of cunning, good looking researchers hacked the iPhone
- How'd we find the bug?



Fuzzing + Code Coverage!

© 2005, Independent Security Evaluators www.securityevaluators.com



WebKit

- Most Apple Internet applications share the same code, WebKit
- WebKit is an open source library
- Source code is available via svn:



§ svn checkout <u>http://svn.webkit.org/repository/</u> webkit/trunk WebKit





Thanks

From the development site:

The JavaScriptCore Tests

If you are making changes to JavaScriptCore, there is an additional test suite you must run before landing changes. This is the Mozilla JavaScript test suite.

- So we know what they use for unit testing
- Let's use code coverage to see which portions of code might not be as well tested





- One problem with gcov is the data is stored in many different files
- Icov is an open source software package which collects data from a whole project and displays it in a nice html report
- It can be a minor pain in the ass to get to work...





Build and Run WebKit

Build it:

WebKit/WebKitTools/Scripts/build-webkit -coverage

Run the test suite:

WebKitTools/Scripts/run-javascriptcore-tests -coverage

Add a bunch of stupid links for lcov...sigh :(

Collect coverage data

lcov --directory WebKitBuild/JavaScriptCore.build/Release/ JavaScriptCore.build/Objects-normal/i386 -c -o testsuite.info

Generate HTML report

genhtml -o WebKit-html -f testsuite.info

© 2005, Independent Security Evaluators www.securityevaluators.com



Results

LTP GCOV extension - code coverage report

directory	
estsuite.info	
2007-06-01 Instrumented lines: 1	13622
59.3 % Executed lines: 8	8073
	lirectory estsuite.info 2007-06-01 Instrumented lines: 1 99.3 % Executed lines: 1

Directory name	Coverage		
/System/Library/Frameworks/CoreFoundation.framework/Headers		100.0 %	1 / 1 lines
/System/Library/Frameworks/JavaVM.framework/Headers		0.0 %	0 / 53 lines
/Users/cmiller/woot/WebKit/JavaScriptCore/API		0.0 %	0 / 474 lines
/Users/cmiller/woot/WebKit/JavaScriptCore/bindings		0.0 %	0 / 530 lines
/Users/cmiller/woot/WebKit/JavaScriptCore/bindings/c		0.0 %	0 / 190 lines
/Users/cmiller/woot/WebKit/JavaScriptCore/bindings/jni		0.0 %	0 / 890 lines
/Users/cmiller/woot/WebKit/JavaScriptCore/bindings/objc		0.0 %	0 / 476 lines
/Users/cmiller/woot/WebKit/JavaScriptCore/kjs		79.3 %	5723 / 7219 lines
/Users/cmiller/woot/WebKit/JavaScriptCore/pcre		54.7 %	1338 / 2445 lines
/Users/cmiller/woot/WebKit/JavaScriptCore/wtf		0.0 %	0 / 56 lines
/usr/include		100.0 %	2 / 2 lines
/usr/include/architecture/i386		100.0 %	3 / 3 lines
/usr/include/c++/4.0.0/bits		50.0 %	4 / 8 lines
/usr/share		89.7 %	96 / 107 lines
JavaScriptCore/kjs		84.8 %	357 / 421 lines
kjs		0.0 %	0 / 39 lines
wtf		76.9 %	528 / 687 lines
wtf/unicode/icu		100.0 %	21 / 21 lines

Generated by: LTP GCOV extension version 1.5

© 2005, Independent Security Evaluators www.securityevaluators.com



Results

- 59.3% of 13622 lines in JavaScriptCore were covered
 - § The main engine (53% of the overall code) had 79.3% of its lines covered
 - § Perl Compatible Regular Expression (PCRE) library (17% of the overall code) had 54.7% of its lines covered
- We decided to investigate PCRE further





... The Rest of the Story

- Wrote a PCRE fuzzer (20 lines of perl)
- Ran it on a standalone PCRE parser (pcredemo from the PCRE library)
- We started getting errors like:

PCRE compilation failed at offset 6: internal error: code overflow.

This was good



A Short Digression on iPhone Hacking: - or - How To Write an Exploit by Fuzzing

- Using our evil regular expression, we could crash mobileSafari (which uses Webkit)
- We didn't have a debugger for the iPhone.
- We couldn't compile code for the iPhone
- We did have crash reports which gave register values
- We did have core dumps (after some iPhone modifications)

All Exploits Need...

- To get control (in this case pc = r15)
- To find your shellcode
- Q: How can you do this without a debugger?
- A: The same way you find bugs while watching TV: fuzzing





Fuzz to Exploit

- We generated hundreds of regular expressions containing different number of "evil" strings: "[[**]]"
- Sorted through the crash reports
- Eventually found a good one



A "Good" Crash

Thread 2 crashed with ARM Th	read St	ate:			
r0: 0x00065000 r1: 0x00	84f800	r2:	0x0000017	r3:	0x15621561
r4: 0x0000018 r5: 0x00	84ee00	r6:	0x00065000	r7:	0x005523ac
r8: 0x0000afaf r9: 0x00	817a00	r10:	0x00ff8000	r11:	0x00000005
ip: 0x15641563 sp: 0x00	552358	lr:	0x30003d70	pc:	0x3008cbc4
cpsr: 0x20000010 instr: 0x	e583c00)4			
text:3008CBC4	STR	R12, [R3,	#4]		
	BXEQ	LR			
text:3008CBCC					
text:3008CBCC loc_3008CBCC			; CODE XF	REF:te	ext:3008CBA0j
text:3008CBCC	STR	R3, [R12]			

- Unlinking of a linked list
- r3 and r12=ip are controllable
- Old school heap overflow (gotta love Apple)
- Gives us a "write anywhere" primitive
- Hows it work? Who the hell knows!
- HD Moore, who is an exploit writing genius, would be sad :(



More Fuzzing For Exploitation

- We decided to overwrite a return address on the stack.
- How do you find it? Fuzz!
 - § True fuzzing folks will call this brute forcing and not fuzzing, but either way its easy...

```
Exception Type: EXC BAD INSTRUCTION
Thread 2 crashed with ARM Thread State:
   r0: 0x00065038
                     r1: 0x0000000
                                        r2: 0x00000a00
                                                            r3: 0x0000001
   r4: 0x00065000 r5: 0x380135a4
                                        r6: 0x0000000
                                                            r7: 0x005523e4
   r8: 0x0000000 r9: 0x00815a00
                                       r10: 0x0084b800
                                                           r11: 0x0000000
   ip: 0x380075fc
                     sp: 0x005523d0
                                        lr: 0x30003e18
                                                            pc: 0x0055ff3c
 cpsr: 0x20000010 instr: 0xfffffff
```





PNG - with source

- libpng-1.2.16
- Used in Firefox, Safari, and Thunderbird (and others)
- http://www.libpng.org/pub/png/libpng.html



Build the Source

- ./configure CFLAGS="-g -fprofile-arcs -ftest-coverage"
- make (errors out)
- gcc -g -fprofile-arcs -ftest-coverage -I. -L/usr/X11R6/ lib/ -I/usr/X11R6/include contrib/gregbook/rpngx.c .libs/libpng12_la-png.o .libs/libpng12_lapngset.o .libs/libpng12_la-pngget.o .libs/libpng12_lapngrutil.o .libs/libpng12_la-pngtrans.o .libs/ libpng12_la-pngwutil.o .libs/libpng12_lapngread.o .libs/libpng12_la-pngrio.o .libs/libpng12_lapngwio.o .libs/libpng12_la-pngwrite.o .libs/libpng12_lapngrtran.o .libs/libpng12_la-pngwtran.o .libs/ libpng12_la-pngmem.o .libs/libpng12_la-pngerror.o .libs/ libpng12_la-pngpread.o .libs/libpng12_la-pnggccrd.o contrib/gregbook/readpng.c -o contrib/gregbook/rpng-x -lX11 -lz -lgcov
- result: contrib/gregbook/rpng-x


How 'bout a Little Dumb Fuzzing Action?

- Grab a PNG off the Internet
 - § The first one I find is from Wikipedia: PNG_transparency_demonstration_1.png
- Zero out any code coverage data
 §lcov --directory . -z



Generate Some Files

- Use fuzz.c, the "super" fuzzer
 - § Changes 1-17 bytes in each file
 - § New value is random
 - § Does this 8192 times
- The ultimate in dumb fuzzer technology

./fuzz > fuzz.out





Use the Files

Use script.sh

- § Executes the program 10 at a time
- § Sleeps 5 seconds
- § Kills any processes
- § Repeats
- § Monitors CrashReporter log for crashes



Get Code Coverage

We covered 10.7% of the lines

```
cp *.c .libs/
lcov --directory . -c -o fuzz.info
genhtml -f -o fuzz_html_files fuzz.info
...
```

- This compares to
 - § 0.4% for getting the usage statement
 - §745 of 7399 (10.1%) for opening the good file
 - 43 more lines covered by fuzzing...

What's up?

- That code coverage kinda sucked...
- Did we choose a bad initial file
- Let's try some other files...
 - § Choose 4 other PNG's from the Internet
 - § Fuzz them the same way
 - § Collect data from each separately













- Initial file can make a big difference
 § 50% more code coverage from file 2 than in file 5
- What if we ran them all?



The Sum is Greater Than the Parts







WTF is Going On?

- Each PNG contains certain elements that requires some code to process
- Some PNG's contain the same elements, some contain different ones
- By fuzzing with a variety of different PNG's, you increase the chance of having different elements which need processing
- Charlie's Heuristic: Keep adding files until the cumulative effect doesn't increase



Enter Generation-Based Fuzzers

- Since Generation-based fuzzers build test cases not from valid data, but from the specification, they should contain all possible chunks
- This should make for a more thorough test





//pnq.spk // Charlie Miller // Header - fixed. s binary("89504E470D0A1A0A"); // IHDRChunk s binary block size word bigendian ("IHDR"); //size of data field s block start("IHDRcrc"); s string("IHDR"); // type s block start("IHDR"); // The following becomes s int variable for variable stuff // 1=BINARYBIGENDIAN, 3=ONEBYE s push int(0x1a, 1); // Width s push int(0x14, 1); // Height s_push_int(0x8, 3); // Bit Depth - should be 1,2,4,8,16, based on colortype s_push_int(0x3, 3); // ColorType - should be 0,2,3,4,6 s binary("00 00"); // Compression || Filter - shall be 00 00 s push int(0x0, 3); // Interlace - should be 0,1 s block end("IHDR"); s binary block crc word littleendian ("IHDRcrc"); // crc of type and data

```
s_block_end("IHDRcrc");
```





Generation Gap





Halting Problem (Again)

- During all this testing
 - § Used mutation and generation based fuzzers
 - § Generated over 200,000 test cases

§ Not one crash

- This is a common occurrence for difficult or well audited target applications
- Raises the question: Now what?
- Answer later...
 - § (Hint: has to do with code coverage)





Code Coverage is...

- We've seen that code coverage is
 - § A metric to find results about fuzzing
 - § Helpful in figuring out general approaches to fuzzing
 - § Useful to find what code to focus fuzzing upon
- More importantly:
 - § A way to improve fuzzing and find more bugs!
 - § Helpful in figuring out when fuzzing is "finished"



Look

- Suppose we didn't know anything about PNG's
- Could we have figured out what was missing when we were fuzzing PNG with the mutation based approach?
- Lets look through some of the lcov report



Yup

the second	(am)	440		LOUAT .
Concession in the local division of the loca		447	8161 :	else if (!png memcmp(png ptr->chunk name, png PLTE, 4))
		448	0 :	png handle PLTE(png ptr, info ptr, length);
State of the local division of the local div		449	8161 :	else if (lpng memcmp(png ptr->chunk name, png IDAT, 4))
Contraction of the local division of the loc		450		{
Concession of the local division of the loca		451	8147 :	if (!(png ptr->mode & PNG HAVE IHDR))
		452	0 :	png error(png ptr, "Missing IHDR before IDAT");
	0	453	8147 :	else if (png ptr->color type == PNG COLOR TYPE PALETTE &&
LOTZ STRANDARD.	111	454		(png ptr->mode & PNG HAVE PLTE))
TRACTOR OF TAXABLE PARTY.	111	455	0 :	png error(png ptr, "Missing PLTE before IDAT");
And in case of the local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division in which the local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division is a local division in which the local division is a local division in which the local division is a local dis a local division is a local divis	111	456		
	111	457	8147 :	png ptr->idat size = length;
	111	458	8147 :	png ptr->mode = PNG HAVE IDAT;
	111	459	8147 :	break;
and and a second	111	460		}
The same street as a		461		#if defined(PNG READ bKGD SUPPORTED)
Street of Lot of		462	14 :	else if (lpng memcmp(png ptr->chunk name, png bKGD, 4))
Provide State of Stat	111	463	0 :	png handle bKGD(png ptr, info ptr, length);
	111	464		#endif
	111	465		#if defined(PNG READ cHRM SUPPORTED)
	111	466	14 :	else if (Ipng memcmp(png ptr->chunk name, png cHRM, 4))
	111	467	0 :	png handle cHRM(png ptr, info ptr, length);
	111	468		#endif
Party of the local division of the local div	111	469		#if defined(PNG READ gAMA SUPPORTED)
Procession and		470	14 :	else if (Ipng memcmp(png ptr->chunk name, png gAMA, 4))
1 million and a second		471	0 :	png handle gAMA(png ptr, info ptr, length);
and the second second	M	472		#endif
		473		#if defined(PNG READ hIST SUPPORTED)
distant of the local distance		474	14 :	else if (!png memcmp(png ptr->chunk name, png hIST, 4))
Contraction of the local division of the loc		475	0 :	png handle hIST(png ptr, info ptr, length);
Concession of the local division of the loca		476		#endif
Contraction of the local division of the loc		477		#if defined(PNG READ oFFs SUPPORTED)
Construction of the local division of the lo		478	14 :	else if (!png memcmp(png ptr->chunk name, png oFFs, 4))
_		479	0 :	png handle oFFs(png ptr, info ptr, length);
The second division in which the		480		#endif
terration and the second second		481		#if defined(PNG READ pCAL SUPPORTED)
		482	14 :	else if (ipng memcmp(png ptr->chunk name, png pCAL, 4))
		483	0 :	png handle pCAL(png ptr, info ptr, length);
		484		#endif
Contraction of the local division of the loc		485		#if defined(PNG READ sCAL SUPPORTED)
		486	14 :	else if (!png memcmp(png ptr->chunk name, png sCAL, 4))
POMAMERICAN		487	0 :	png handle sCAL(png ptr, info ptr, length);
PARTY DEPTY WATER ST		488	:	#endif
Party and a second s	4	489		#if defined(PNG READ pHYs SUPPORTED)
- Contraction of the local division of the l	-	490	14 :	else if (!png memcmp(png ptr->chunk name, png pHYs, 4))
DUUD PROPERTY.	Ψ.	401	• •	and handle ally (and att info att longth).



Code Coverage Improves Fuzzing

- Finding spots in the code which are not covered can help with the generation of new test cases
- Beware: covered code doesn't necessarily mean its "fuzzed"
- Code which has not been executed definitely still needs to be fuzzed!



Digression into Binary Code Coverage

- So far, we've seen how code coverage can give useful information to help fuzzing
- We've seen how to use gcov and lcov to do this
- The exact same data can be obtained on Windows binaries using Pai Mei
- Pai Mei exists for Mac OS X and is being ported to Linux





Pai Mei

- A reverse engineering framework
- Integrates
 - § PyDbg debugger
 - § IDA Pro databases (via PIDA)
 - § pGraph graphing
 - § mySQL database
- Gives the ability to perform reverse engineering tasks quickly and repeatably
- http://paimei.openrce.org/





Pstalker

- A Pai Mei Module
- Uses IDA Pro to get structure of binary
- Sets breakpoints at each basic block (or function)
- Records and removes breakpoints that are hit
- Allows for filtering of breakpoints
- Gathers code coverage for binaries



Screenshot

PAIMEIconsole

Connections Advanced Help

_ 6 ×

5.

000	Data Sources	Data Exploration							Data Capture				
222	Refresh Tar	#	EIP	TID	Module	Func?	Tag	^	Refresh Process L		ist		
PAIMEIdocs	UMEldocs			6b05b3c0 6b05b040 6b05b055	3896 3896 3896	coregraphic coregraphic	Y Y	test test		PID Process 280 toolsrv.exe		~	
	⊟- <mark>]</mark> safari ,⊅ <mark>test</mark>	4	4 6b05b05e 3896 coregraphic test						1232 2132 2292	FNPLicensingService.exe iPodService.exe alg.exe			
			Functions: 1	1083 / 7069		Basic Block	s: 11575 / 1	23325		2668 3852 3900	wscntfy.exe pythonw.exe Safari.exe		
PAIMElexplore			Dereferend	ed Data						Load:	Durth	Browse	
	PIDA Modules	pro a sta data	1							Coverage	Depth		
ØxFF	7069 123325	coregraphic								Function Basic Block	ns ocks		
PAIMElfilefuzz									~	Restore	BPs Heavy	Unhandled Or	
	Add Mode	ule(s)	1	< >						Start Stalking			
PAIMEIpstalker	<pre>(*) debugger hit 6b (*) debugger hit 6b (</pre>	0a33fa cc #1155 0a33fc cc #1155 0a3417 cc #1155 0a3417 cc #1155 0a3417 cc #1155 0a3433 cc #1156 0a3433 cc #1156 0a3470 cc #1156 0a3470 cc #1156 0a3478 cc #1156 0d5d9 cc #1156 0d5dfd cc #1156 0d5dfd cc #1157 1dd62c cc #1157 1dd662 cc #1157 1dd663 cc #1157 1dd663 cc #1157 1dd664 cc #1157 1dd662 cc #1157 1dd665 cc #1157 1dd664 cc #1157 1dd665 cc #1157 1dd665 cc #1157 1dd664 cc #1157 1dd665 cc #1157 1dd654 cc #1157 1dd655 cc #1157 1dd655 cc #1157 1dd654 cc #1157 1dd655 cc #155 157 157 157 157 157 157 157	5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	verage at 9%.									
											a.t.,	Y	
Successfully connected to M	iyoQL server at localhost.									Process Stalker			



One Hitch

- Can't keep launching the process
- Have to have a way for it to keep loading the fuzzed images
- Just use a meta-refresh tag and point the browser at it



The Fuzzing Website

```
#!/usr/bin/perl
```

```
$file = $ENV{'QUERY STRING'};
snextfile = sfile + 1;
$server = $ENV{'SERVER NAME'};
$script = $ENV{'SCRIPT NAME'};
$url = "http://".$server.$script."?".$nextfile;
$pic = sprintf("bad-%d.gif", $nextfile);
$picurl = "http://".$server."/gif/".$pic;
print "Content-type: text/html
<head>
        Fuzz!
.....
print " <meta http-equiv=\"refresh\" content=\"2;$url\">";
print " </head><body>";
print"</body>\n";
print "<Script Language=\"JavaScript\">";
print "window.open('$picurl');";
print "</Script>";
```



Missed PNG Basic Blocks





Using Pai Mei to Find the Code

- Do some general browsing in Safari under Pai Mei
 - § Avoid pages with PNG's if possible
 - § Stop when no more breakpoints are hit
- Record this code coverage in a tag
- Filter out on that tag and browse a bunch of different PNG's
- This will record those basic blocks used only in PNG processing (mostly)

This Results In:

- Total basic blocks: 123,325
- Hit during "general browsing": 12,776
- Hit during PNG only surfing with filter on: 1094 (0.9% of total basic blocks)
 - § This includes 87 functions (out of 7069)
 - § 61 of these basic blocks are in the "main" PNG processing function
 - § Most of the others are in "chunk" specific functions



Where's the Code?





Pai Mei Limitations

- Pai Mei is only as good as what IDA give it
 § If IDA misidentifies data as code, bad things
 - happen!
- Some anti-debugging measures screw it up
- Have to know the DLL you're interested in § Or load them all
- For large binaries, it can be slow to set all the breakpoints
 - § For this library, it takes a few minutes



Increasing Code Coverage

- Lack of code coverage is a bad thing
 § Can't find bugs in code you're not executing
- How do you increase code coverage?
- Basically three ways
 - § Manually
 - § Dynamically using run time information
 - § Automatically from static information





Manually

 You can imagine looking at the PNG code and figuring out how to get more code coverage.



Another Example

 Freeciv 2.0.9, a free multiplayer game similar to Civilization



 Don't ever play this on a computer you care about



Steps to Code Coverage

- Get the Windows binary no cheating
- Disassemble it
- Dump the PIDA file
- Launch civserver.exe
- Attach with Pai Mei's Pstalker
- Capture a netcat connection to it
- Filter this out (551 of 36,183 BB's 2%)
- Trace the fuzzing!



- Great, general purpose mutation-based fuzzer
- Works on packet captures
- Replays packets while injecting faults
- User can manually tell GPF about the structure of the data in the packets
 - § Aids in the anomaly injection
- Many modes of operation



Fuzz FreeCiv

- Start up the game, play a bit
- Capture the packets to a file
- Convert the PCAP file to a GPF file

./GPF -C freeciv_reg_game.pcap freeciv_reg_game.gpf

Fire up GPF (main mode)

§ Main mode replaces some packets with random data

./GPF -G l ../freeciv_reg_game.gpf client <IP ADDRESS> 5555 ? TCP kj3874jff 1000 0 + 0 + 00 01 09 43 close 0 1 auto none



FreeCiv Sucks

- Not designed to be fuzzed :)
- Need to add a sleep to GPF so FreeCiv can keep up
- Fuzz overnight...
- I recorded 96 functions during fuzzing § 614 / 36183 basic blocks
- Import data back to IDA
- Look for places to increase code coverage



I See One!

A big switch statement I only hit once

Tracing back reveals this switch is controlled by the third byte of the packet




Back to GPF

- Up until now we've basically been sending random data
- Using Pai Mei, we observe that the third byte is important
- We modify GPF to make sure it changes the third byte
- We've added a little structure to our random data

./bin/GPF -G l freeciv_reg_game.gpf client <IP ADDRESS> 5555 ?
TCP kj3874jff 1000 0 + 2 2 00 01 255 41 finish 0 1 auto none



Better Code Coverage

2383 basic blocks covered (after filtering)
 § Compare this to 614 with the first fuzz run
 § 4x improvement



All cases taken in switch (as expected)However, still no bugs...



Manual Method Explained

- Send mostly random data
- Examine code coverage to see what structure in the data is important
- Send data which has some elements set but some mostly random parts
- Rinse and Repeat



Fuzzing Beyond the 3rd Byte

 This command replaces the bytes 3 through 10 of each packet, one at a time, with all possible values from 0 to 255

./GPF -G l ../freeciv_reg_game.gpf client <IP ADDRESS> 5555 ?
TCP kj3874jff 1000 0 + 2 10 00 01 255 41 finish 0 1 auto none

- This will ensure that all the cases in the switch statement are hit and each case will have some random data
- After a bit, CPU is pegged: Memory consumption bug!



Dig Deeper

- Following the methodology, fix the 3rd byte to, say 0x47
- Send in random data to that part of the program
- See what you missed
- Try to do better



Missed Some Spots

	and the second		
	🖪 N Ա	<u>l</u>	
	10C_48	04B7:	FOR where FOIL affects sub MADEDO
	MOV	Lesp+	580+Var_58], 0++Set SUD_409580
	mov	Eccard	EREAD THE CALL OF
	0011	Lesh+:	S8H+Var_54], ecx
	Call	- C1933	Soresizer
	MOV	eux,	[eup+var_40]
	mov	Leax	, EdX
	MOV	[esp+:	580+Var_54], est
	mov	eux,	[ebp+var_40]
	mov	edx,	Elevan Elevan
	0011	[esp+:	Solitvar_Sol, eax
	tost	CINO:	suresizer]_a
	rest	edx, t	eda opy
	ina	loo hi	edx 0004F
	JIIZ	100_40	REUSUE
	냬넾		🔜 🔜 🔜 🛄
mov	[esp	+58h+va	ar 54], esi lea esi, [es
mov	edx,	[ebp+v	var 40]
mov	eax,	[edx]	
mov	[esp	+58h+va	ar_58], eax
call	ZN	Ssóresi	izeEj_0
test	eax,	eax	
mov	edi,	eax	
jz	100_	4804F0	
-	1.14		
*	*		* *
🖪 N 📖 🔜) 🖽 N Wa
loc_48036E:			loc_4804F0:
nov [esp+5	8h+var_54], eax	mov [esp+58h+var 58], esi
nov edx, 8	OCh		mov eax, 80Ch
nov [esp+5	8h+var 5], edx	mov [esp+58h+var_50], eax
nov [esp+5	8h+var_58], esi	xor eax, eax
call memcpy			mov [esp+58h+var 54], eax
			call memset
			jmp 1oc_480383
		11	*



Heap Overflow

Can get a heap overflow if you send the following packet:

27 2e | 2f | 0c | 00 00 13 94 | 41 41 41 41 41 ... Length of Packet Length of memcpy Data

*	
File View Debug Plugins Options Window Help	
CPU - main thread, module MSVCRT	
Frequency First Rep House Dords Res House Dords Res House Dords Res House Dords 77.444476 First Res House Dords Deck Pirk Res House Dords Res House Dords	
Baddress Hex. dumo Baddress Hex. dumo Distance 004107800 700 000	



Bugs In FreeCiv Aren't a Huge Deal

- Fun for hacking your friends
- Also MetaServer is nice

Host	Port	Version	Patches	State	Players	Topic	Last Update	Players Available
<u>88-134-81-104-</u> dynip.superkabel.de	5555	2.0.9	none	Running	32		2m	30
p5B20C598.dip.t-dialin.net	5560	2.0.9	Warserver - PepServer 0.9.5 devel	Pregame	0	NEW GAME	27s	0
pool-72-90-153- 69.nwrknj.east.verizon.net	5555	2.0.9	none	Running	10	New Game	2m	8
<u>pool-72-90-153-</u> 69.nwrknj.east.verizon.net	5556	2.0.9	none	Running	2	New Game	2m	2
<u>pool-72-90-153-</u> 69.nwrknj.east.verizon.net	5557	2.0.9	none	Running	9	New Game	16s	9
wsip-70-182-164- 206.ks.ks.cox.net	5555	2.0.9	none	Pregame	0		39s	0
wsip-70-184-212- 144.om.om.cox.net	5555	2.0.9	none	Running	5		2m	5
ww10.ultico.de	5551	2.0.9	Warserver - PepServer 0.9.5 devel	Pregame	0	NEW GAME	2s	0
ww10.ultico.de	5552	2.0.9	Warserver - PepServer 0.9.5 devel	Game Ended	5	NEW GAME	16s	5
ww10.ultico.de	5553	2.0.9	Warserver - PepServer 0.9.5 devel	Pregame	0	NEW GAME	48s	0
ww10.ultico.de	5554	2.0.9	Warserver - PepServer 0.9.5 devel	Pregame	0	NEW GAME	49s	0
ww10.ultico.de	5555	2.0.9	Warserver - PepServer 0.9.5 devel	Pregame	0	NEW GAME	20s	0

Freeciv servers around the world



Dynamically Generating Better Test Cases

- Manually improving code coverage is, uh, "time intensive"
- Need to automate the process
- Autodafe kinda does this
- But I prefer another of Jared Demott's tools....



EFS

- Uses Pai Mei Pstalker to record code coverage
- Uses Genetic Algorithms to generate new test cases based on code coverage feedback



Genetic Algorithms

- Technique to find approximate solution to optimization problems
- Inspired by evolutionary biology
 § Define fitness of an organism (test case)
 - § Must define how to recombine two organisms
 - § Must define how to mutate a single organism
- Lots more complexity but that is the basics



GA example

- f(x) = -x * (x 10000)
- Use "single point crossover" of binary representation of numbers for recombination
- Flip a bit 10% of the time for mutationFitness is the value in the function



In Practice

Running it for a few generations gives

134	651	485	7653	1354	7654	134	7302
(1322044)	(6086199)	(4614775)	(17961591)	(11706684)	(17956284)	(1322044)	(19700796)
1354	7652	134	7653	7302	390	1350	134
(11706684)	(17966896)	(1322044)	(17961591)	(19700796)	(3747900)	(11677500)	(1322044)
390	7302	134	134		134	1350	134
(3747900)	(19700796)	(1322044)	(1322044)	70 (695100)	(1322044)	(11677500)	(1322044)
134	134	268	134	1350	134	134	2182
(1322044)	(1322044)	(2608176)	(1322044)	(11677500)	(1322044)	(1322044)	(17058876)
134	134	134	2182	1618	134	134	2316
(1322044)	(1322044)	(1322044)	(17058876)	(13562076)	(1322044)	(1322044)	(17796144)
134	1618	1612	132	2316	134	2322	1158
(1322044)	(13562076)	(13521456)	(1302576)	(17796144)	(1322044)	(17828316)	(10239036)

The optimum value is 5000





GA Approaches the Solution

- Generation vs most fit individual
- Approaches the solution





EFS and GA's

- Fitness function: How many functions were covered by the test case (in reality a more elaborate measure is used)
- For breeding, tends to choose the most fit individuals
- Recombination: single point crossover that respects "protocol tokens"
- Mutation: portions of data replaced with fuzzing heuristics



Obligatory Screenshot



Running EFS

- Still needs a PIDA file
- Connect to database
- Add PIDA file to module list
- Enter pathname to application in Load/Attach window
- Choose Connections->Fuzzer Connect
 - § Hit "Listen"

On Client

./GPF -E <IP ADDRESS> root <PASSWORD> 0 0 <IP ADDRESS> 31338 funcs client <IP ADDRESS> 5555 ? TCP 800000 20 low AUTO 4 25 Fixed 10 Fixed 10 Fixed 35 3 5 9 none none no



What You See

Successfully played generation 0. Saving to mysqldb. Processing Generation 0 ... Done processing. Time to play and process: 100 total evaluations in 1001 seconds. 10.01 sec/eval That's 16.683 mins or 0.278 hrs.

```
Successfully played generation 1. Saving to mysqldb.
Processing Generation 1 ...
Done processing. Time to play and process: 200 total
evaluations in
1887 seconds.
9.44 sec/eval
That's 31.450 mins or 0.524 hrs.
```



Does It Work?

- The light blue line indicates the most fit pool of testcases
- Code coverage is (slowly) improving





Caveats

- Still experimental
- GA's can get stuck in "local maxima"
- GA's have so many parameters (population size, initial population, mutation percentage, etc), hard to optimize



Statically Generating Code Coverage

- GA's attempt to provide an approximating solution to a difficult problem
- We have the binary, we have the control flow graph, we have the disassembly...
- What if we "solve" the problem exactly?



Existing Work

- Microsoft Research has a tool that generates code coverage maximizing test cases from binaries
 - § ftp://ftp.research.microsoft.com/pub/tr/ TR-2007-58.pdf
- Catchcov (built on Valgrind) does something similar to try to find integer overflows
- Greg Hoglund has something which tries to do this
- Nothing freely available



General Idea

- Identify where user supplied data enters the program
- Data needs to be traced (symbolically) and branch point's dependence on initial data recorded
- These equations need to be solved, i.e. inputs need to be generated which can go down either branch at each branch point.



Example

- Input comes in through argv[1]
- test() takes an this value as an int
- 3 possible paths through the program

```
int test(int x) {
    if(x < 10) {
        if(x > 0) {
            return 1;
            }
        }
        return 0;
}
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
        int x = atoi(argv[1]);
        return test(x);
}
```



Tracing the Data

- Use Valgrind or PyEmu?
- In this trivial example, we'll just do it by hand.
- The constraints would look something like

```
x >= 10
0 < x < 10
x <= 0
```

 In real life, there would be thousands of such constraints



Solve the Constraints

- Can use a Boolean satisfiability solver (SAT)
- One such solver is STP
 - § Constraints expressed as bit vector variables
 - § Bitwise operators like AND, OR, XOR
 - § Arithmetic functions like +, =, *
 - § Predicates like =, <, >



In the STP Language

x : BITVECTOR(32); QUERY(BVLT(x,0hex000000a));

x : BITVECTOR(32); ASSERT(BVLT(x, 0hex0000000a)); QUERY(BVGT(x, 0hex00000000));

x : BITVECTOR(32); ASSERT(BVLT(x, 0hex0000000a)); QUERY(BVLE(x, 0hex0000000));



Solving These Gives

This gives the test cases x={12, 0, 4}
These give maximal code coverage

```
$ ./stp -p q1
Invalid.
ASSERT( x = 0hex0000000 );
$ ./stp -p q2
Invalid.
ASSERT( x = 0hex0000000 );
$ ./stp -p q3
Invalid.
ASSERT( x = 0hex0000004 );
```



Using This Technique

- Very sophisticated constraints, such as those that found the Freeciv bug, could be solved (sometimes)
- Optimum test cases can be generated without executing the application
- Combining dynamic and static approaches can optimize fuzzing



Conclusion

- Fuzzing is easy, until you really try it
- Code coverage is a tool that can be used to try to measure and improve fuzzing
- You won't find any bugs in code you haven't tested
- Increasing code coverage can be difficult and time consuming but new tools are coming to make this easier



References

- <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fuzz_testing</u>
- Make My Day: Just Run A Web Scanner, Toshinari Kureha, BH-EU-07
- How Smart is Intelligent Fuzzing or How Stupid is Dumb Fuzzing, Charlie Miller, DEFCON 2007
- Robustness Testing Code Coverage Analysis, Teno Rontti, Masters Thesis
- How to Misuse Code Coverage, Brian Marick, <u>http://www.testing.com/</u> writings/coverage.pdf
- ProxyFuzz: <u>http://theartoffuzzing.com/joomla/index.php?</u> option=com_content&task=view&id=21&Itemid=40
- STP: <u>http://theory.stanford.edu/~vganesh/stp.html</u>
- SPIKE: <u>http://www.immunitysec.com/downloads/SPIKE2.9.tgz</u>
- Icov: <u>http://ltp.sourceforge.net/coverage/lcov.php</u>
- GPF and EFS: <u>http://www.vdalabs.com/tools/efs_gpf.html</u>

Questions?

Please contact me at: <u>cmiller@securityevaluators.com</u>

